

REGULAR SPECTRUM OF ELEMENTS IN TOPOLOGICAL ALGEBRAS

MATI ABEL

Communicated by M. Omladič

ABSTRACT. Main properties of the regular (or extended) spectrum of elements in topological algebras (introduced by L. Waelbroeck and G. R. Allan for unital locally convex algebras) are presented. Descriptions of the relationship between the usual spectrum and the regular spectrum of elements in topological algebras with jointly continuous multiplication are given. It is shown that the usual spectrum and the regular spectrum of elements coincide for Hausdorff locally convex Waelbroeck algebras. Main properties of the disolvent map of elements in topological algebras are studied.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a *topological algebra* over \mathbb{C} with separately continuous multiplication (in short, a topological algebra). In particular, when the multiplication as a map $A \times A \rightarrow A$ is continuous, we speak about a *topological algebra with jointly continuous multiplication*. A topological algebra A is *locally convex*, if A has a base of neighborhoods of zero, consisting of absolutely convex neighborhoods.

Let $\text{Inv}A$ denote the set of all invertible elements in A and $\text{Qinv}A$ the set of all quas-invertible elements in A (that is, of elements $a \in A$ for which there is an element a_q^{-1} (the *quasi-inverse* of a) such that $a + a_q^{-1} = aa_q^{-1} = a_q^{-1}a$). A topological algebra A is called a *Q-algebra* if the set $\text{Qinv}A$ (for unital algebras $\text{Inv}A$) is open in A , and a *Q-algebra* is called a *Waelbroeck algebra*, if the quasi-inversion $a \rightarrow a_q^{-1}$ (in the case of unital algebra, the inversion $a \rightarrow a^{-1}$) is continuous. The

Copyright 2018 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Date: Received: Mar. 20, 2018; Accepted: Jul. 7, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46H05; Secondary 46H20.

Key words and phrases. Waelbroeck algebra, topological algebra, resolvent map, disolvent map, idempotently bounded von Neumann bornology.

notion of Waelbroeck algebra was introduced by L. Waelbroeck in 1954 in [15] under the name „*continuous inverse algebra*” for unital locally convex Hausdorff algebras, but the name „*Waelbroeck algebra*” used first R. Ouzulou in 1965 in [10]. Moreover, a topological algebra A is *locally complete* (in locally convex case G. R. Allan used in [4], p. 401, the term *pseudo-complete algebra*) if every subalgebra of A , generated by a closed, bounded, idempotent and absolutely pseudoconvex subset U , is complete (remember, U is *idempotent*, if $UU \subset U$; *absolutely k -convex*, if

$$U = \Gamma_k(U) = \left\{ \sum_{v=1}^n \alpha_v u_v : n \in \mathbb{N}, u_1, \dots, u_n \in U, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{v=1}^n |\alpha_v|^k \leq 1 \right\},$$

and *absolutely pseudoconvex*, if U is absolutely k -convex for some $k \in (0, 1]$). In addition, A is a *topological algebra with idempotently pseudoconvex von Neumann bornology* if for every idempotent and bounded subset U of A there is a number $k \in (0, 1]$ such that $\Gamma_k(U)$ is bounded in A .

2. INTRODUCTION

1. Bounded elements in topological algebras. Let A be a unital locally convex algebra over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers and let e_A denote the unit element of A . In 1954 Lucien Waelbroeck introduced in [14] (see also [13]) the notion of regular element of algebra. He said that $a \in A$ is *regular* if there is a neighborhood O of ∞ such that *the resolvent map* R_a of a , defined by

$$R_a(\lambda) = (a - \lambda e_A)^{-1}$$

for each $\lambda \notin \text{sp}_A(a)$, has a bounded limit in O .

After that, in 1956, Seth Warner said in [18] that an element a is *idempotently bounded* in a locally m -convex algebra A if there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$I(\{\lambda a\}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{\lambda a\}^n$$

is bounded in A .

Next, in 1965 Graham R. Allan in [4] said that an element $a \in A$ is *bounded* if the set

$$S(a, \lambda) = \left\{ \left(\frac{a}{\lambda} \right)^n : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \quad (1)$$

is bounded in A for some $\lambda > 0$. Hence, every idempotently bounded element of a locally m -convex algebra is bounded and vice versa. Moreover (see, for example, [17], Proposition 11), these three notions, given by Waelbroeck, Warner and Allan, are the same in case of a unital *b -algebra* (that is, of a unital locally convex algebra, the von Neumann bornology of which has a base of completant, idempotent and absolutely convex sets). In case, when (1) holds for an element of arbitrary topological (that is, not necessarily unital and locally convex) algebra with separately continuous multiplication, we use the term „bounded element” and the set of all bounded elements in A we denote, as it was used in [4], by A_0 .

2. Regular spectrum of elements in topological algebras. Let A be a unital algebra over \mathbb{C} . The *spectrum* $\text{sp}_A(a)$ of $a \in A$ is defined by

$$\text{sp}_A(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda e_A \notin \text{Inv}A\}$$

and in case, when A is not necessarily a unital algebra, then by

$$\text{sp}_A(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \frac{a}{\lambda} \notin \text{Qinv}A\} \cup \{0\}.$$

In 1954, L. Waelbroeck introduced in [13] the notion „regular spectrum of an element” in a unital commutative locally convex algebra A . He said that the set $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$, defined by

$$\text{sp}_A^r(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda e_A \text{ is not a regular in } A\} \cup S$$

(where $S = \{\infty\}$ if and only if a is not regular and $S = \{\emptyset\}$ otherwise), is a *regular spectrum* of $a \in A$.

G. R. Allan defined in [4] the *extended spectrum* of a in locally convex algebras similarly, using only instead of the term „regular element of a ” the name „bounded element of a ”, that is in case of unital locally convex algebra A

$$\text{sp}_A^r(a) = \text{sp}_A(a) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda e_A \in \text{Inv}A \text{ but } (a - \lambda e_A)^{-1} \notin A_0\} \cup S, \quad (2)$$

where $S = \{\infty\}$ if and only if $a \notin A_0$ and $S = \{\emptyset\}$ otherwise. Since W. Żelazko used in [19], p. 130, the name „extended spectrum of an element” in the other sense, we shall use later on throughout this paper the term „regular spectrum of an element” of a unital topological algebra to refer to the set given by (2).

Next, we generalize that notion to the case of a (not necessarily unital) topological algebra A with separately continuous multiplication.

We say that the set

$$\text{sp}_A^r(a) = \text{sp}_A(a) \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \frac{a}{\lambda} \in \text{Qinv}A \text{ but } \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1} \notin A_0\} \cup S, \quad (3)$$

where $S = \{\infty\}$ if and only if $a \notin A_0$ and $S = \{\emptyset\}$ otherwise, is the *regular spectrum* of $a \in A$. That is, $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$ of $a \in A$ consists of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_\infty = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that either $\frac{a}{\lambda}$ has not quasi-inverse in A or $\frac{a}{\lambda}$ has in A the quasi-inverse, but it does not belong to A_0 .

We show first that the sets in the right of (3) and of (2) coincide if A is a unital topological algebra. For that, we need the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be a unital topological algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then*

(a) $a \in \text{Qinv}A$ if and only if $e_A - a \in \text{Inv}A$
and

(b) $a \in \text{Qinv}A$ and $a_q^{-1} \in A_0$ if and only if $e_A - a \in \text{Inv}A$ and $(e_A - a)^{-1} \in A_0$.

Proof. a) Since $(e_A - a)^{-1} = e_A - a_q^{-1}$ for each $a \in \text{Qinv}A$, then the the statement (a) holds.

b) Let now $a \in \text{Qinv}A$, $a_q^{-1} \in A_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, O be a neighborhood of zero in A and O_1 a balanced neighborhood of zero in A such that

$$\underbrace{O_1 + \cdots + O_1}_{n+1 \text{ summands}} \subset O.$$

Then there is a $\lambda_0 > 1$ such that the set $S(a_q^{-1}, \lambda_0)$ is bounded in A . Therefore, there is a $\mu > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{a_q^{-1}}{\lambda_0}\right)^n \in \mu O_1$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (e_A - a_q^{-1})^n &= \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n}{v} (-1)^{n-v} (a_q^{-1})^{n-v} = \sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n}{v} (-1)^{n-v} \lambda_0^{n-v} \left(\frac{a_q^{-1}}{\lambda_0}\right)^{n-v} \in \\ &\in \mu(1 + \lambda_0)^n \sum_{v=0}^n \alpha_v O_1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_v = \frac{\binom{n}{v} (-1)^{n-v} \lambda_0^{n-v}}{(1 + \lambda_0)^n}$$

for each $1 \leq v \leq n$,

$$|\alpha_v| = \frac{\binom{n}{v} (\lambda_0)^{n-v}}{(1 + \lambda_0)^n} \leq \frac{\sum_{v=0}^n \binom{n}{v} (\lambda_0)^{n-v}}{(1 + \lambda_0)^n} = 1$$

and O_1 is balanced, then

$$(e_A - a_q^{-1})^n \in \mu(1 + \lambda_0)^n \underbrace{(O_1 + \cdots + O_1)}_{n+1 \text{ summands}} \subset \mu(1 + \lambda_0)^n O.$$

Hence,

$$\left(\frac{(e_A - a)^{-1}}{1 + \lambda_0}\right)^n = \left(\frac{e_A - a_q^{-1}}{1 + \lambda_0}\right)^n \in \mu O,$$

because $(e_A - a)^{-1} = e_A - a_q^{-1}$. As n was arbitrary, then $e_A - a \in \text{Inv}A$ and $(e_A - a)^{-1} \in A_0$.

The converse part of the proof is similar, because $a_q^{-1} = e_A - (e_A - a)^{-1}$ if $e_A - a \in \text{Inv}A$. \square

Corollary 2.2. *For any topological unital algebra A the regular spectrum $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$ of $a \in A$, defined by (3), coincides with the regular spectrum $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$, defined by (2).*

Proof. Because $(e_A - a)^{-1} = e_A - a_q^{-1}$ for each $a \in \text{Qinv}A = e_A - \text{Inv}A$ and $a - \lambda e_A = -\lambda(e_A - \lambda^{-1}a)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_0 = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_0 : a - \lambda e_A \notin \text{Inv}A\} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_0 : \frac{a}{\lambda} \notin \text{Qinv}A\}$$

and

$$\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_0 : a - \lambda e_A \in \text{Inv}A, (a - \lambda e_A)^{-1} \notin A_0\} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_0 : \frac{a}{\lambda} \in \text{Qinv}A, \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1} \notin A_0\}$$

by Proposition 2.1. Hence the regular spectrum $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$ of $a \in A$, defined by (3), coincides with the regular spectrum $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$, defined by (2). \square

In case, when A has not the unit element, instead of A , we shall use the unitization $A_1 = A \times \mathbb{C}$ of A .

Proposition 2.3. *Let A be a topological algebra without unit element, then*

$$\mathrm{sp}_A^r(a) = \mathrm{sp}_{A_1}^r((a, 0)) \quad (4)$$

for each $a \in A$.

Proof. Let $a \in A$. For proving the equality (4), we can consider only non-zero elements of these spectra, because $0 \in \mathrm{sp}_A^r(a)$ for each $a \in A$ by the definition of the regular spectrum of elements and $0 \in \mathrm{sp}_{A_1}^r((a, 0))$ for each $a \in A$ because $A \times \{0\}$ is a two-sided ideal in A_1 . Therefore, let first, $\lambda \in \mathrm{sp}_A^r(a) \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\frac{a}{\lambda} \notin \mathrm{Qinv}A$ or $\frac{a}{\lambda} \in \mathrm{Qinv}A$ but $\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1} \notin A_0$. Let first $\frac{a}{\lambda} \notin \mathrm{Qinv}A$. Suppose that $(a, -\lambda) = (a, 0) - \lambda(\theta_A, 1) \in \mathrm{Inv}A_1$. Then there exists an element $(b, \mu) \in A_1$ such that

$$(ab - \lambda b + \mu a, -\lambda\mu) = (a, -\lambda)(b, \mu) = (\theta_A, 1),$$

from what follows that $\mu = -\frac{1}{\lambda}$ and $\frac{a}{\lambda} \circ \lambda b = \theta_A$. Hence, $\frac{a}{\lambda} \in \mathrm{Qinv}A$. As this is impossible, then $(a, -\lambda) \notin \mathrm{Inv}A_1$ or $\lambda \in \mathrm{sp}_{A_1}^r((a, 0))$.

Let now $\frac{a}{\lambda} \in \mathrm{Qinv}A$ and $\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1} \notin A_0$. Then there exists

$$(a, -\lambda)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1}, -\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(-\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1}, 1\right)$$

in A_1 . Suppose that $(a, -\lambda)^{-1} \in (A_1)_0$. Then there exists a number $M > 0$ such that the set $S((a, -\lambda)^{-1}, M)$ is bounded in A_1 . Since

$$(\theta_A, 1) - \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}, 0\right) = \left(-\frac{a}{\lambda}, 1\right) = -\frac{1}{\lambda}(a, -\lambda),$$

then

$$\left(-\frac{a}{\lambda}, 1\right) \in \mathrm{Inv}A_1.$$

Moreover, $S\left(\left(-\frac{a}{\lambda}, 1\right)^{-1}, |\lambda|M\right) = S\left(-\frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|}(a, -\lambda)^{-1}, M\right)$. Hence, $\left(-\frac{a}{\lambda}, 1\right)^{-1} \in (A_1)_0$. Therefore, $\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}, 0\right) \in \mathrm{Qinv}A_1$ and $\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}, 0\right)_q^{-1} \in (A_1)_0$ by Proposition 2.1 (b). Hence, $\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1} \in A_0$ because

$$\left(\frac{1}{M^n} \left(\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1}\right)^n, 0\right) = \frac{1}{M^n} \left(\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}, 0\right)_q^{-1}\right)^n$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M > 0$. As this is impossible, then $(a, -\lambda)^{-1} \notin (A_1)_0$ or $\lambda \in \mathrm{sp}_{A_1}^r((a, 0))$. Consequently, $\mathrm{sp}_A^r(a) \subseteq \mathrm{sp}_{A_1}^r((a, 0))$ for each $a \in A$.

Let now $\lambda \in \mathrm{sp}_{A_1}^r((a, 0)) \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(a, -\lambda) = (a, 0) - \lambda(\theta_A, 1) \notin \mathrm{Inv}A_1$ or $(a, -\lambda) \in \mathrm{Inv}A_1$ but $(a, -\lambda)^{-1} \notin (A_1)_0$. First we consider the case, when

$$(a, -\lambda) \notin \mathrm{Inv}A_1.$$

If $\frac{a}{\lambda} \in \mathrm{Qinv}A$,

$$(a, -\lambda)^{-1} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1}, -\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$$

but this is not possible by assumption. Hence, $\frac{a}{\lambda} \notin \mathrm{Qinv}A$. It means that $\lambda \in \mathrm{sp}_A^r(a)$.

Let next $(a, -\lambda) \in \mathrm{Inv}A_1$ and $(a, -\lambda)^{-1} \notin (A_1)_0$. Then there is a $b \in A$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $(a, -\lambda)(b, \mu) = (\theta_A, 1)$. Hence $\frac{a}{\lambda} \in \mathrm{Qinv}A$ and $\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1} = \lambda b$. Since

$(\frac{a}{\lambda}, 0)_q^{-1} = ((\frac{a}{\lambda})_q^{-1}, 0)$, then $(\frac{a}{\lambda}, 0) \in \text{Qinv}A_1$. Suppose that $(\frac{a}{\lambda}, 0)_q^{-1} \in (A_1)_0$. Then $(-\frac{a}{\lambda}, 1) \in \text{Inv}A_1$ and $(-\frac{a}{\lambda}, 1)^{-1} \in (A_1)_0$ by Proposition 2.1 (b). Hence, there exists a number $M > 0$ such that $S((-\frac{a}{\lambda}, 1)^{-1}, M)$ is bounded in A_1 . Since

$$\left(-\frac{a}{\lambda}, 1\right)^{-1} = -\lambda(a, -\lambda)^{-1}$$

and $S((a, -\lambda)^{-1}, \frac{M}{|\lambda|}) = S(-\frac{|\lambda|}{\lambda}(-\frac{a}{\lambda}, 1)^{-1}, M)$, then $(a, -\lambda)^{-1} \in (A_1)_0$ what is impossible. Hence, $(\frac{a}{\lambda}, 0)_q^{-1} = ((\frac{a}{\lambda})_q^{-1}, 0) \notin (A_1)_0$, because of which $(\frac{a}{\lambda})_q^{-1} \notin A_0$. Thus, $\lambda \in \text{sp}_A^r(a)$. Consequently, $\text{sp}_{A_1}^r((a, 0)) \subseteq \text{sp}_A^r(a)$ for each $a \in A$. \square

3. Properties of the regular spectrum $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$ of a , of the resolvent map R_a of $a \in A$, defined above, and of the map f_a , defined by

$$f_a(\lambda) = (e_A - \lambda a)^{-1}$$

for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_a = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : e_A - \lambda a \in \text{Inv}A\}$, have been studied in several papers (see, for example, [2], [4] and [16]) in case when A is a unital topological (mostly locally convex) algebra. Next we describe properties of the regular spectrum $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$ by the resolvent map R_a of a , and by the *disolvent map* D_a of $a \in A$, defined by

$$D_a(\lambda) = \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)_q^{-1}$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}_A(a)$ if A is not unital.

3. PROPERTIES OF THE REGULAR SPECTRUM

For describing the main properties of the regular spectrum of elements in topological algebras over \mathbb{C} , we need

Lemma 3.1. *If*

(a) *A is a unital Waelbroeck algebra*

or

(b) *A is a unital topological algebra with jointly continuous multiplication,*

then the power map $\mu_n : A \rightarrow A$ with fixed natural number $n \geq 2$, defined by $\mu_n(a) = a^n$ for every $a \in A$, is continuous in A .

Proof. We use here the idea from [7]. Let, first A be a unital Waelbroeck algebra. Since the set $\text{Inv}A$ is open in A , then there is a balanced neighborhood O of zero such that $e_A + a, e_A - a \in \text{Inv}A$ for every $a \in O$. Therefore,

$$a^2 = e_A - 2((e_A + a)^{-1} + (e_A - a)^{-1})^{-1}$$

for each $a \in O$. Let ε denote the inversion of elements in A (that is, $\varepsilon(a) = a^{-1}$ for each $a \in \text{Inv}A$); l the addition of elements in A (that is, $l((a, b)) = a + b$ for each $a, b \in A$); g_a with fixed $a \in A$ the map, defined by $g_a(b) = a + b$ for each $b \in A$, h_α with fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ the map, defined by $h_\alpha(a) = \alpha a$ for each $a \in A$, and (f, g) the map, defined by $(f, g)(a) = (f(a), g(a))$ for each $a \in A$ and maps $f : A \rightarrow A$ and $g : A \rightarrow A$. Since

$$\mu_2 = g_{e_A} \circ h_{-2} \circ \varepsilon \circ l \circ ((\varepsilon \circ g_{e_A}), (\varepsilon \circ g_{e_A} \circ h_{-1}))$$

and every component in this composition is continuous, then μ_2 is a continuous map.

Let now A be a topological algebra with jointly continuous multiplication, $a_0 \in A$ and O an arbitrary neighborhood of zero in A . Then there is another neighborhood O_1 of zero in A such that $O_1O_1 + O_1a_0 + a_0O_1 \subset O$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2(a) - \mu_2(a_0) &= (a - a_0)(a + a_0) - aa_0 + a_0a = \\ &= (a - a_0)((a - a_0) + 2a_0) - (a - a_0)a_0 + a_0(a - a_0) \in \\ &\in O_1O_1 + O_1a_0 + a_0O_1 \subset O \end{aligned}$$

for every $a \in a_0 + O_1$, then the map μ_2 is continuous.

To show that the map μ_n is continuous for $n \geq 3$, we use the induction, where $\mu_{n+1} = f_a \circ \mu_n$ for each $n \geq 2$ and f_a denotes the map, defined by $f_a(b) = ab$ for each $b \in A$. \square

Corollary 3.2. (Turpin [12]) *Let A be a commutative unital Waelbroeck algebra. Then the multiplication in A is jointly continuous.*

The next proposition gives a similar result as in [4, Lemma 3.6] for not necessary locally convex algebra over \mathbb{C} .

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be a unital topological algebra over \mathbb{C} with jointly continuous multiplication. If*

(a) *the inversion $a \rightarrow a^{-1}$ is continuous on $\text{Inv}A$,*

then

a) *for every $a \in A$ and $\lambda \in K = \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}\text{SP}_A}(a)$ the complex derivative $R_a^{(n)}$ of the resolvent map R_a of a has the form*

$$R_a^{(n)}(\lambda) = n!R_a(\lambda)^{n+1} \quad (5)$$

for every natural number $n \geq 1$;

b) *for every $a \in A$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_a$ the complex derivative $f_a^{(n)}$ of the map f_a (defined in the introduction) has the form*

$$f_a^{(n)}(\lambda) = n!a^n f_a(\lambda)^{n+1} \quad (6)$$

and

$$(R_a \circ \varepsilon)^{(n)}(\lambda) = -n!a^{n-1} f_a(\lambda)^{n+1} \quad (7)$$

for any natural number $n \geq 1$ (here $\varepsilon(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ if $\lambda \neq 0$).

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and $\lambda_0 \in K$. Then there is an open neighborhood $O(\lambda_0) \subset K$. Since

$$R_a(\lambda) - R_a(\lambda_0) = (\lambda - \lambda_0)R_a(\lambda)R_a(\lambda_0)$$

for every $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$, then

$$R'_a(\lambda_0) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{R_a(\lambda) - R_a(\lambda_0)}{\lambda - \lambda_0} = R_a(\lambda_0)^2$$

by the condition (a). Now

$$R'_a(\lambda) - R'_a(\lambda_0) = R_a(\lambda)^2 - R_a(\lambda_0)^2 = (R_a(\lambda) - R_a(\lambda_0))(R_a(\lambda) + R_a(\lambda_0)) + S_1,$$

where

$$S_1 = R_a(\lambda_0)R_a(\lambda) - R_a(\lambda)R_a(\lambda_0) = \theta_A,$$

because $R_a(\lambda)$ and $R_a(\mu)$ commute for every $\lambda, \mu \in K$. Therefore (due to the joint continuity of multiplication in A)

$$\begin{aligned} R_a''(\lambda_0) &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{R_a(\lambda) - R_a(\lambda_0)}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} (R_a(\lambda) + R_a(\lambda_0)) = \\ &= 2R_a'(\lambda_0)R_a(\lambda_0) = 2R_a(\lambda_0)^3. \end{aligned}$$

To prove by the induction that the equality (5) holds for every n , we assume that

$$R_a^{(n)}(\lambda_0) = n!R_a(\lambda_0)^{n+1}.$$

By the formula

$$a^{n+1} - b^{n+1} = (a - b) \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a^{n+1-k} b^{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^n [ba^{n+1-k} b^{k-1} - a^{n+1-k} b^k]$$

for each $n \geq 2$ and $a, b \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_a^{(n)}(\lambda) - R_a^{(n)}(\lambda_0) &= n!(R_a(\lambda)^{n+1} - R_a(\lambda_0)^{n+1}) = \\ &= n!(R_a(\lambda) - R_a(\lambda_0)) \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} R_a(\lambda)^{n+1-k} R_a(\lambda_0)^{k-1} + S_n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_n = n! \sum_{k=1}^n [R_a(\lambda_0)R_a(\lambda)^{n+1-k} R_a(\lambda_0)^{k-1} - R_a(\lambda)^{n+1-k} R_a(\lambda_0)^k] = \theta_A,$$

because $R_a(\lambda)$ and $R_a(\mu)$ commute for all $\lambda, \mu \in K$. Taking this, the joint continuity of the multiplication in A and the continuity of power maps into account,

$$\begin{aligned} R_a^{(n+1)}(\lambda_0) &= n! \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{R_a(\lambda) - R_a(\lambda_0)}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mu_{n+1-k}(R_a(\lambda)) R_a(\lambda_0)^{k-1} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + R_a(\lambda)R_a(\lambda_0)^{n-1} + R_a(\lambda_0)^n \right] + = \\ &= n!R_a(\lambda_0)^2(n+1)R_a(\lambda_0)^n = \\ &= (n+1)!R_a(\lambda_0)^{n+2} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1. Consequently, the equality (5) holds by the mathematical induction for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Similarly, using the mathematical induction, it is not difficult to show that the equalities (6) and (7) hold for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

By Corollary 3.2 we have

Corollary 3.4. *Let A be a commutative unital Waelbroeck algebra over \mathbb{C} . Then equalities (5)–(7) hold.*

To prove the next result, we need the following

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a nonunital topological algebra with nontrivial continuous linear functionals. Then every weakly bounded subset in the unitization A_1 of A is bounded if every weakly bounded subset in A is bounded.*

Proof. Let $f \in A^*$ (the topological dual of A) be nontrivial. Then F_f , defined by $F_f((a, \lambda)) = f(a) + \lambda$ for each $(a, \lambda) \in A_1$, is a nontrivial continuous linear functional on A_1 . (Since f is nontrivial, then there is an element $a_0 \in A$ such that $f(a_0) \neq 0$. Therefore, $F_f(a_0, f(a_0)) = 2f(a_0) \neq 0$, that is, F_f is not trivial.)

Let S be a weakly bounded subset in A_1 , $A_S = \{a \in A : (a, \lambda) \in S\}$ and $\mathbb{C}_S = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (a, \lambda) \in S\}$. Then $F_f(S)$ is a bounded subset in \mathbb{C} for each $f \in A^*$. Therefore, $f(A_S)$ for each $f \in A^*$ and \mathbb{C}_S are bounded in \mathbb{C} . Since every weakly bounded subset in A is bounded, then A_S is a bounded subset in A . Now, from $S \subseteq A_S \times \mathbb{C}_S$, follows that S is bounded in A_1 . \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let A be a topological algebra over \mathbb{C} with jointly continuous multiplication which satisfies the conditions*

- (a') *the quasi-inversion $a \rightarrow a_q^{-1}$ on $\text{Qinv}A$ is continuous;*
- (b) *the topological dual space A^* of A contains at least one nonzero element and*
- (c) *every weakly bounded set in A is bounded in A .*

Then

$$\text{sp}_A(a) \subseteq \text{sp}_A^r(a) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a)) \quad (8)$$

for every $a \in A$.

Proof. Let $a \in A$. The inclusion $\text{sp}_A(a) \subseteq \text{sp}_A^r(a)$ follows from the definition of $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$. First we consider the case, when A is a unital topological algebra. If $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a)) = \mathbb{C}_\infty$, then $\text{sp}_A^r(a) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a))$. Let now $\text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a)) \neq \mathbb{C}_\infty$ and take a $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a))$. If $\lambda_0 \neq \infty$, then there exists a neighborhood $O(\lambda_0)$ of λ_0 in \mathbb{C} such that $O(\lambda_0) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a))$. Hence, $R_a(\lambda)$ exists in A for all $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0)$. By the condition (b) there is at least one nonzero continuous linear functional f on A . Let φ_f be the nonzero continuous functional on $O(\lambda_0)$, defined by $\varphi_f(\lambda) = f(R_a(\lambda))$ for each $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0)$. Since A satisfies the conditions (a) (from (a') follows (a), because $(e_A - a)^{-1} = e_A - a_q^{-1}$), R_a is infinitely many times differentiable at λ_0 and

$$R_a^{(n)}(\lambda_0) = n!R_a(\lambda_0)^{n+1}$$

for every natural number $n \geq 1$ by Proposition 3.3, then φ_f is infinitely many times differentiable at λ_0 and

$$\varphi_f^{(n)}(\lambda_0) = n!f(R_a(\lambda_0)^{n+1})$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, φ_f is an analytic function on some neighborhood $O_f(\lambda_0)$ of λ_0 and its Taylor series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f[(R_a(\lambda_0)^{k+1})(\lambda - \lambda_0)^k]. \quad (9)$$

converges in every point of $O_f(\lambda_0)$. Let $\rho_f > 0$ and

$$O_{\rho_f}(\lambda_0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \rho_f\} \subset O_f(\lambda_0).$$

Then $\rho_f < R_f$, where R_f denotes the radius of convergence of the power series (9). Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|f[R_a(\lambda_0)^{n+1}]|} = \frac{1}{R_f} < \frac{1}{\rho_f} < \infty.$$

Hence, there exists a natural number N_f such that

$$\sqrt[n]{|f[R_a(\lambda_0)^{n+1}]|} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_f}$$

for every $n > N_f$. So, the set $S = \{(f[R_a(\lambda_0)])^{n+1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded in \mathbb{C} for every $f \in A^*$. Hence, $R_a(\lambda_0) \in A_0$ by the condition (c), because of which $\lambda_0 \notin \text{sp}_A^r(a)$. Hence, from $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a))$ and $\lambda_0 \neq \infty$ follows that $\lambda_0 \notin \text{sp}_A^r(a)$ for each $a \in A$. It means that $\text{sp}_A^r(a) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a))$.

Let now $\lambda_0 = \infty$. To show that φ_f for $f \in A^*$ is analytic at ∞ , we show that the function ψ_f , defined by $\psi_f(\lambda) = f[R_a(\frac{1}{\lambda})]$, is analytic at zero. Since

$$\psi_f^{(n)}(0) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} f\left[(R_a \circ \varepsilon_A)^{(n)}(\lambda)\right] = -n!f(a^{n-1})$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 3.3, then ψ_f is analytic at zero and the Taylor series

$$-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(a^{n-1})\lambda^n$$

of ψ_f converges pointwise in every point of some neighborhood O_f of zero. Let now $\nu_f > 0$ be such that $O_{\nu_f} \subset O_f$. Then $\nu_f < R_f$ (the radius of convergence of this power series). As

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|f(a^{n-1})|} = \frac{1}{R_f} < \frac{1}{|\nu_f|} < \infty,$$

then there is a $N_f \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M > 0$ such that $|f((\lambda_1 a)^n)| \leq M$ for every $n > N_f$. Hence, the set $\{|\lambda_1 f(a^n)| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded for each $f \in A^*$. Therefore, the set $\{|\lambda_1 a^n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded in A by the condition (c). Similarly as above, we have that $a \in A_0$. So, $\infty \notin \text{sp}_A^r(a)$. Consequently, from $\infty \in \mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a))$ follows that $\infty \notin \text{sp}_A^r(a)$ for each $a \in A$. In this way, we have shown that the inequalities (8) hold.

Next we consider the case, when A has not the unit element. Instead of A , we consider the unitization $A_1 = A \times \mathbb{C}$ of A . Then (see, for example, [6], p. 156), A_1 satisfies the condition (a) for every $(a, \lambda) \in \text{Inv}A_1$ by the condition (a'). Moreover, by the condition (b), there is a nonzero continuous linear functional f on A . Let F_f be as in Lemma 3.5. Then F_f is a nonzero continuous \mathbb{C} -valued linear functional on A_1 , that is, A_1 satisfies the condition (b), and every weakly bounded set in A_1 is bounded by Lemma 3.5.

Hence, by Proposition 2.3 and the part above, we have that

$$\text{sp}_A^r(a) = \text{sp}_{A_1}^r((a, 0)) \subseteq \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}[\text{sp}_{A_1}((a, 0))] = \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}[\text{sp}_A(a)]. \quad \square$$

Next we need the following results which are partly known (at least in unital case)

Lemma 3.7. *Let A be a topological Hausdorff algebra and B the maximal commutative subalgebra of A . Then*

a) B is closed;

b) $\text{Qinv}B = B \cup \text{Qinv}A$

and

c) $\text{sp}_B(b) = \text{sp}_A(b)$ and $\text{sp}_B^r(b) = \text{sp}_A^r(b)$ for every $b \in B$.

Proof. a) Let $a \in \text{cl}_A B$. Then there is a net $(b_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ in B such that $(b_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ converges to a . Since A is a Hausdorff space, the multiplication in A is separately continuous and $bb_\lambda = b_\lambda b$ for each $b \in B$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $ab = ba$ for each $b \in B$. Hence, the linear span of $\{a\} \cup B$ is a commutative subalgebra in A . Because B is the maximal commutative subalgebra in A , then $a \in B$. Hence, B is closed.

b) We show that $B \cup \text{Qinv}A \subseteq \text{Qinv}B$. For this, let $b \in B \cup \text{Qinv}A$ and b' be an arbitrary element of B . Since

$$\begin{aligned} b_q^{-1} \circ b' &= (b_q^{-1} \circ b') \circ \theta_A = (b_q^{-1} \circ b') \circ (b \circ b_q^{-1}) = b_q^{-1} \circ (b' \circ b) \circ b_q^{-1} = b_q^{-1} \circ (b \circ b') \circ b_q^{-1} = \\ &= (b_q^{-1} \circ b) \circ (b' \circ b_q^{-1}) = \theta_A \circ (b' \circ b_q^{-1}) = b' \circ b_q^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

then $b_q^{-1} b' = b' b_q^{-1}$. As in above, the linear span of $\{b_q^{-1}\} \cup B$ is a commutative subalgebra in A . Because B is the maximal commutative subalgebra in A , then $b_q^{-1} \in B$. Hence, $b \in \text{Qinv}B$.

c) Let $b \in B$ and $\lambda \in \text{sp}_A(b)$. If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\frac{b}{\lambda} \notin \text{Qinv}A$. Therefore, $\frac{b}{\lambda} \notin \text{Qinv}B$ by equality b). Hence, $\lambda \in \text{sp}_B(b)$. Thus, $\text{sp}_A(b) \subseteq \text{sp}_B(b)$. The converse inclusion is similar.

Let now $\lambda \in \text{sp}_A^r(b)$. If $\lambda \in \text{sp}_A(b)$, then $\lambda \in \text{sp}_B(b)$ as above. Let now $\frac{b}{\lambda} \in \text{Qinv}A$ but $(\frac{b}{\lambda})_q^{-1} \notin A_0$. Then $\frac{b}{\lambda} \in \text{Qinv}B$ by the equality b) and $(\frac{b}{\lambda})_q^{-1} \notin B_0$. Hence, $\lambda \in \text{sp}_B^r(b)$. Consequently, $\text{sp}_A^r(b) \subseteq \text{sp}_B^r(b)$. Converse inclusion is similar. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let A be a locally convex algebra over \mathbb{C} . If, in addition,*

a) *the multiplication in A is jointly continuous and the quasi-inversion is continuous,*

then the inequality (8) holds for every $a \in A$;

b) *A is a Waelbroeck and Hausdorff algebra, then $\text{sp}_A^r(a) = \text{sp}_A(a)$ for every $a \in A$.*

Proof. a) Since A is locally convex, then the conditions (b) and (c) in Proposition 3.6 have been fulfilled (see, for example, [11], Corollary of Theorem 3.4, and Proposition 3.18). Therefore, the statement holds by Proposition 3.6.

b) Let first A be a commutative unital locally convex Waelbroeck algebra. Then the condition a) is fulfilled and the multiplication in A is jointly continuous by Corollary 3.2. Moreover, since A is locally convex, then (b) and (c) have been fulfilled (see the proof of part a)). Therefore, every element in A is bounded by Theorem 1 in [3]. Hence, $\text{sp}_A^r(a) = \text{sp}_A(a)$ for every $a \in A$ by the definition of the regular spectrum.

Let now A be an arbitrary unital Hausdorff locally convex Waelbroeck algebra, a an element in A , B_a the subalgebra of A , generated by a and e_A ,

and M_a the maximal commutative unital subalgebra of A . Then $B_a \subset M_a$ and M_a is a commutative unital Hausdorff locally convex Waelbroeck algebra by Lemma 3.7 b). Hence,

$$\text{sp}_A^r(a) = \text{sp}_{M_a}^r(a) = \text{sp}_{M_a}(a) = \text{sp}_A(a)$$

for every $a \in A$ by the first part of the proof and Lemma 3.7 c).

Next we consider the case when A has not unit. Instead of A we consider the unitization A_1 of A . Since A is a Waelbroeck algebra, then A_1 is a Waelbroeck algebra with unit element by Proposition 3.6.28 in [6]. Moreover, A_1 is a Hausdorff space. Hence,

$$\text{sp}_A^r(a) = \text{sp}_{A_1}^r((a, 0)) = \text{sp}_{A_1}((a, 0)) = \text{sp}_A(a)$$

by Proposition 2.3. □

Corollary 3.8 a) has been given in [4, Theorem 4.1] for unital locally convex algebras. In [5] has been given a unital commutative locally convex Q -algebras in which the usual spectrum and the regular spectrum of elements coincide.

4. PROPERTIES OF THE DISOLVENT MAP

For any topological algebra A over \mathbb{C} , let

$$\sigma_A^r(a) = \mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus \text{sp}_A^r(a)$$

for each $a \in A$. Later on we need the following results:

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a topological algebra over \mathbb{C} , $a \in A$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}_A(a)$. Then*

$$1) \quad aD_a(\lambda) = D_a(\lambda)a = a + \lambda D_a(\lambda)$$

and

$$2) \quad D_a(\lambda)D_a(\mu) = D_a(\mu)D_a(\lambda).$$

Proof. 1) Since $\lambda \neq 0$ and

$$\frac{a}{\lambda} \circ D_a(\lambda) = \theta_A = D_a(\lambda) \circ \frac{a}{\lambda},$$

then

$$D_a(\lambda) \frac{a}{\lambda} = \frac{a}{\lambda} + D_a(\lambda) = \frac{a}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda).$$

Hence, the statement 1) holds.

2) Since $\lambda, \mu \notin \sigma_A(a)$, then $\lambda \neq 0$, $\mu \neq 0$ and $\frac{a}{\lambda} \in \text{Qinv} A$. Moreover,

$$\frac{a}{\mu} \circ D_a(\lambda) = D_a(\lambda) \circ \frac{a}{\mu}.$$

Therefore, from

$$\begin{aligned} D_a(\lambda) \circ D_a(\mu) &= \left(D_a(\mu) \circ \frac{a}{\mu} \right) \circ (D_a(\lambda) \circ D_a(\mu)) = D_a(\mu) \circ \left(\frac{a}{\mu} \circ D_a(\lambda) \right) \circ D_a(\mu) = \\ &= (D_a(\mu) \circ D_a(\lambda)) \circ \left(\frac{a}{\mu} \circ D_a(\mu) \right) = D_a(\mu) \circ D_a(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

follows that $D_a(\lambda)D_a(\mu) = D_a(\mu)D_a(\lambda)$. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let A be a topological algebra over \mathbb{C} , $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{sp}_A(a))$ and $O(\lambda_0)$ a neighborhood of λ_0 such that $O(\lambda_0) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(\text{sp}_A(a))$. Then*

$$D_a(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) \sum_{v=1}^n \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^v D_a(\lambda_0)^v + \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^{n+1} D_a^{n+1}(\lambda_0) D_a(\lambda) \quad (10)$$

for each $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if O is a neighborhood of ∞ such that $O \cap \text{sp}_A(a)$ is empty, then

$$D_a(\lambda) = - \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{a^v}{\lambda^v} + \left(\frac{a}{\lambda} \right)^n D_a(\lambda) \quad (11)$$

for each $\lambda \in O$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Dividing both sides of the equation

$$\frac{a}{\lambda} + D_a(\lambda) - \frac{a}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda) = \theta_A \quad (12)$$

by λ_0 and both sides of the equation

$$\frac{a}{\lambda_0} + D_a(\lambda_0) - \frac{a}{\lambda_0} D_a(\lambda_0) = \theta_A \quad (13)$$

by λ and then subtracting from the first new equation the second new equation, we obtain the equation

$$\frac{D_a(\lambda)}{\lambda_0} - \frac{D_a(\lambda_0)}{\lambda} = \frac{a(D_a(\lambda) - D_a(\lambda_0))}{\lambda \lambda_0}. \quad (14)$$

Now multiplying both sides of the equation (12) from the left by $\lambda D_a(\lambda_0)$ and both sides of the equation (13) from the right by $\lambda_0 D_a(\lambda)$ and then subtracting from the first new equation the second new equation, we obtain by Lemma 4.1 the equation

$$a(D_a(\lambda) - D_a(\lambda_0)) = (\lambda - \lambda_0) D_a(\lambda_0) D_a(\lambda). \quad (15)$$

Hence, from (14) and (15) follows that

$$D_a(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) + \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right) D_a(\lambda_0) D_a(\lambda). \quad (16)$$

By relation (16) one gets that relation (10) is true for $n = 1$.

Let now the formula (10) hold, when $n = m$. To show that this formula holds also when $n = m + 1$, we put the value of $D_a(\lambda)$ in (16) to the right part of the

formula (10). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
D_a(\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^v D_a(\lambda_0)^v + \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^{m+1} D_a^{m+1}(\lambda_0) \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) + \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right) D_a(\lambda_0) D_a(\lambda) \right) = \\
&= \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) \sum_{v=1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^v D_a(\lambda_0)^v + \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^{m+2} D_a^{m+2}(\lambda_0) D_a(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the induction the formula (10) holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let now $\lambda \in O \cap (\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}_A(a))$. Then $\lambda \neq 0$ and from (12) follows that the equality (11) holds for $n = 1$. Similarly as above it is easy to show by induction that the equality (11) holds for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let A be a topological algebra over \mathbb{C} with continuous quasi-inversion, $a \in A$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{sp}_A(a)$. Then*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{\lambda D_a(\lambda) - \lambda_0 D_a(\lambda_0)}{\lambda - \lambda_0} = D_a^2(\lambda_0) \quad (17)$$

in a neighborhood of λ_0 .

Proof. The equality (17) holds by (16) because the quasi-inversion in A is continuous. \square

For any topological algebra A let \mathcal{B}_k denote the collection of all closed, bounded, idempotent and absolutely k -convex subsets in A .

Proposition 4.4. *Let A be a locally complete topological Hausdorff algebra with idempotently pseudoconvex von Neumann bornology and $a \in A \setminus \{\theta_A\}$. Then*

(a) *for any $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_A^r(a) \cap \mathbb{C}$ there exist a number $k(\lambda_0) \in (0, 1]$, an open neighborhood $O(\lambda_0) \subset \sigma_A^r(a)$ of λ_0 and a set $B(\lambda_0) \in \mathcal{B}_{k(\lambda_0)}$ such that $D_a(\lambda) \in A_{B(\lambda_0)}$ for each $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0)$;*

(b) *if $\infty \in \sigma_A^r(a)$, then there exist numbers $t > 0$, $k \in (0, 1]$, an open neighborhood O of ∞ and a set $C \in \mathcal{B}_k$ such that $D_a(\lambda) \in A_C$ whenever $\lambda \in O \subset \sigma_A^r(a)$. Moreover,*

$$\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} D_a(\lambda) = \theta_A.$$

Proof. Let A be a (not necessarily unital) locally complete topological Hausdorff algebra with idempotently pseudoconvex von Neumann bornology, $a \in A \setminus \{\theta_A\}$ and $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_A^r(a) \cap \mathbb{C}$. Then $D_a(\lambda_0) \in A_0$. Hence, there exists a number $\mu > 0$ such that $S(D_a(\lambda_0), \mu)$ is a bounded and idempotent subset in A . Therefore, there is a number $k = k(\lambda_0) \in (0, 1]$ such that $\Gamma_k(S(D_a(\lambda_0), \mu))$ is also bounded in A . Hence, $B = \text{cl}_A(\Gamma_k(S(D_a(\lambda_0), \mu)))$ is a closed, absolutely k -convex, bounded and idempotent subset in A (see [8, p. 103] and [9, Lemma 1.3]). Thereby the

subalgebra A_B of A , generated by B , is by [1, Proposition 2.2], a k -normed algebra with respect to the submultiplicative norm p_B , defined by

$$p_B(a) = \inf\{|\mu|^k : a \in \mu B\}$$

for every $a \in A_B$. Since $a \neq \theta$ and $\lambda_0 \neq 0$, then $D_a(\lambda_0) \neq \theta_A$. Therefore, $p_B(D_a(\lambda_0)) > 0$.

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and

$$O(\lambda_0) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \left| \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{p_B(D_a(\lambda_0))^{\frac{1}{k}}} \right\}.$$

Then $O(\lambda_0)$ is a neighborhood of λ_0 in \mathbb{C} . Indeed, $\lambda_0 \in O(\lambda_0)$ and $O(\lambda_0)$ is an open subset of \mathbb{C} (if $\lambda' \in \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C} \setminus O(\lambda_0))$, then there exists a sequence $(\lambda_n) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus O(\lambda_0)$ such that (λ_n) converges to λ' . Hence, if $\lambda' \neq 0$, then from

$$|\lambda_n - \lambda_0| \geq |\lambda_n| \frac{\varepsilon}{p_B(D_a(\lambda_0))^{\frac{1}{k}}}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ follows that $\lambda' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus O(\lambda_0)$ and if $\lambda' = 0$, then $\lambda' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus O(\lambda_0)$ by the definition of $O(\lambda_0)$. Hence $\lambda' \in \mathbb{C} \setminus O(\lambda_0)$.

Let $\lambda \in (O(\lambda_0) \cap \sigma_A^r(a)) \setminus \{\lambda_0\}$ and

$$T_n(a, \lambda) = \sum_{v=1}^n \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^v D_a(\lambda_0)^v$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $T_n(a, \lambda) \in A_B$,

$$0 < q(\lambda) = \left| \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right|^k p_B(D_a(\lambda_0)) < \varepsilon^k < 1,$$

$$D_a(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) T_n(a, \lambda) + \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^{n+1} D_a^{n+1}(\lambda_0) D_a(\lambda)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Proposition 4.2, and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n+m}(a, \lambda) - T_n(a, \lambda) &= \sum_{v=n+1}^{n+m} \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^v D_a(\lambda_0)^v = \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^n D_a(\lambda_0)^n \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^v D_a(\lambda_0)^v \end{aligned}$$

for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} p_B(T_{n+m}(a, \lambda) - T_n(a, \lambda)) &\leq \left| \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right|^{kn} p_B(D_a(\lambda_0))^n \sum_{v=1}^m \left| \frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right|^{kv} p_B(D_a(\lambda_0))^v = \\ &= q(\lambda)^n \sum_{v=1}^m q(\lambda)^v < \varepsilon^{kn} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{kv} = \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon^k} \varepsilon^{kn} \end{aligned}$$

for each $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_B(T_{n+m}(a, \lambda) - T_n(a, \lambda)) = 0$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It means that $(T_n(a, \lambda))$ is a Cauchy sequence in A_B . Thereby, $(T_n(a, \lambda))$ is a convergent (and bounded) sequence in A_B for each

$\lambda \in O(\lambda_0) \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$ (because A_B is complete by assumption). Hence, there is a number $M(\lambda) > 0$ such that

$$T_n(a, \lambda) \in M(\lambda)B$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(a, \lambda) = \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^v D_a(\lambda_0)^v \in M(\lambda)B$$

for each $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0) \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$ because A is a Hausdorff space and B is closed. Since

$$p_B \left[\left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^n D_a(\lambda_0)^n \right] \leq q(\lambda)^n < \varepsilon^{kn}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0) \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$, then the sequence $\left(\left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^n D_a(\lambda_0)^n \right)$ vanishes in A_B for each fixed $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0) \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$, hence also in A , because the topology on A_B defined by p_B is not weaker than the subset topology on A_B (see [1, Proposition 2.2]). It means that

$$X_\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^n D_a(\lambda_0)^n D_a(\lambda) = \theta_A$$

for each fixed $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0) \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$. Taking this into account,

$$\begin{aligned} D_a(\lambda) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n(a, \lambda) = \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(a, \lambda) + X_\lambda = \\ &= \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) + \frac{\lambda_0}{\lambda} D_a(\lambda_0) \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\lambda - \lambda_0}{\lambda} \right)^v D_a(\lambda_0)^v \in A_B \end{aligned}$$

for each $\lambda \in O(\lambda_0) \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$. Because $A_B \subset A_0$ (see [1, Proposition 2.3]), then $O(\lambda_0) \subset \sigma_A^r(a)$ by the definition of the regular spectrum.

Let now $\infty \in \sigma_A^r(a)$. Then $\infty \notin \text{sp}_A^r(a)$. Therefore, $a \in A_0$. Hence, there are numbers $\rho > 0$ and $k \in (0, 1]$ such that $\Gamma_k(S(a, \rho))$ is bounded in A by the assumption. Now $C = \text{cl}(\Gamma_k(S(a, \rho)))$ is a closed, absolutely k -convex, bounded and idempotent set in A and $a \in A_C$. Again (A_C, p_C) is a k -normed subalgebra of A by Proposition 2.2 from [1].

Let $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$O = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| > \max\{\varepsilon, p_C(a)^{\frac{1}{k}}\} \},$$

$\lambda \in O \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$,

$$\psi(\lambda) = \frac{p_C(a)}{|\lambda|^k}$$

and

$$W_n(a, \lambda) = - \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{a^v}{\lambda^v}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $W_n(a, \lambda) \in A_C$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$D_a(\lambda) = W_n(a, \lambda) + \left(\frac{a}{\lambda} \right)^n D_a(\lambda)$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by (11), and $p_C(D_a(\lambda)) > 0$ because $a \neq \theta_A$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} p_C[W_{n+m}(a, \lambda) - W_n(a, \lambda)] &\leq \psi(\lambda)^n \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \psi(\lambda)^v = \psi(\lambda)^n \frac{1}{1 - \psi(\lambda)} = \\ &= \psi(\lambda)^{n-1} \frac{p_C(a)}{|\lambda|^k - p_C(a)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \psi(\lambda) < 1$ for each $\lambda \in O \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$, then $(W_n(a, \lambda))$ is a Cauchy (hence a bounded) sequence in A_C . Because A is locally complete, then the sequence $(W_n(a, \lambda))$ converges in A_C . Since $p_C\left(\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^n\right) \leq \psi(\lambda)^n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^n$ vanishes in the topology of A_C (hence, also in the topology of A). Therefore, $\left(\left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^n D_a(\lambda)\right)$ vanishes in A for each $\lambda \in O \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$. Hence

$$D_a(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_n(a, \lambda) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a}{\lambda}\right)^n D_a(\lambda) = - \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^v}{\lambda^v} \quad (18)$$

for each $\lambda \in O \cap \sigma_A^r(a)$ since A is a Hausdorff space. As the sequence $(W_n(a, \lambda))$ is bounded in A_C , then there exists a number $N(\lambda) > 0$ such that $W_n(a, \lambda) \in N(\lambda)C$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $D_a(\lambda) \in N(\lambda)C \in A_C$ (because C is closed). Similarly as above, $O \subset \sigma_A^r(a)$.

Since,

$$p_C(D_a(\lambda)) \leq \frac{p_C(a)}{|\lambda|^k} \frac{|\lambda|^k}{|\lambda|^k - p_C(a)} = \frac{p_C(a)}{|\lambda|^k - p_C(a)}$$

for every $\lambda \in O$, then

$$\lim_{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} D_a(\lambda) = \theta_A$$

in A_C . The topology on A_C , defined by the norm p_C , is not weaker than the subset topology on A_C (see [1, Proposition 2.2]). Therefore, this limit holds also in A . \square

Similar result for the resolvent map in unital case has been proved in [2, Proposition 2.1].

Corollary 4.5. *Let A be a locally complete Hausdorff algebra over \mathbb{C} with idempotent pseudoconvex von Neumann bornology. Then the regular spectrum $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$ is closed in \mathbb{C}_∞ and not empty for every $a \in A$.*

Proof. The regular spectrum $\text{sp}_A^r(a)$ is closed in \mathbb{C}_∞ by Proposition 4.3 and nonempty by the definition and, in unital case, by Proposition 2.2 in [2]. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be a locally complete locally convex Hausdorff algebra over \mathbb{C} with jointly continuous multiplication and continuous quasi-inversion. Then*

$$\text{sp}_A^r(a) = \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}_\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a))$$

for each $a \in A$.

Proof. This statement is true by Corollaries 3.8 and 4.5. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Let A be a locally complete locally convex Hausdorff algebra over \mathbb{C} with jointly continuous multiplication and continuous quasi-inversion. Then $a \in A_0$ if and only if $\text{sp}_A(a)$ is bounded.*

Proof. If $a \in A_0$, then $\infty \notin \text{sp}_A^r(a)$ by the definition of the regular spectrum. Therefore, $\infty \notin \text{sp}_A(a)$. Consequently, $\text{sp}_A(a)$ is bounded. Otherwise, every $n \in \text{sp}_A(a)$ by what $\infty \in \text{sp}_A(a)$ because the regular spectrum of A is closed by Corollary 4.5.

Let now $\text{sp}_A(a)$ be bounded. Then $\infty \notin \text{cl}_{\mathbb{C}\infty}(\text{sp}_A(a))$. Hence, $\infty \notin \text{sp}_A^r(a)$ by Corollary 4.6. So, $a \in A_0$ by the definition of the regular spectrum. \square

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank the referee for valuable remarks. The present research is, in part, supported by the institutional research funding IUT20–57 of the Estonian Ministry of Education and Research.

REFERENCES

1. M. Abel, *Topological algebras with pseudoconvexly bounded elements*, In Topological algebras, their applications, and related topics, 21–33, Banach Center Publ., **67**, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2005.
2. M. Abel, *Topological algebras with idempotently pseudoconvex von Neumann bornology*, Contemp. Math. **427** (2007), 15–29.
3. M. Abel, *Topological algebras with all elements bounded*, Proceedings of ICTAA 2015, to appear.
4. G. R. Allan, *A spectral theory for locally convex algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **15** (1965), 399–421.
5. H. Arizmendi-Beimbart, A. Carrillo-Hugo, and P. Ramos-Martínez, *On the subalgebra E_0 of bounded elements in $\mathbb{C}(t)$* , Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. **117A** (2017), no. 1, 13–21.
6. V. K. Balachradian, *Topological algebras*, North-Holland Math. Studies **185**, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 2000.
7. H. Biller, *Continuous inverse algebras with involution*, Forum Math. **22** (2010), no. 6, 1033–1059.
8. H. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*, B. G. Teubren, Stuttgart, 1981.
9. E. A. Michael, *Locally multiplicatively convex topological algebra*, Memm. Amer. Math. Soc., no. 11, 1952.
10. R. Ouzilou, *Algèbres involutives à adverse continu*, Publ. Dépt. Math. Lyon, **2** (1965), 54–71.
11. W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1973.
12. P. Turpin, *Une remarque sur les algèbres à inverse continu*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B **270** (1970), A1686–A1689.
13. L. Waelbroeck, *Le calcul symbolique dans les algèbres commutatives*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **33** (1954), 147–186.
14. L. Waelbroeck, *Le calcul symbolique dans les algèbres commutatives*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **238** (1954), 556–558.
15. L. Waelbroeck, *Les algèbres à inverse continu*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **238** (1954), 640–641.
16. L. Waelbroeck, *Algèbres commutatives: éléments réguliers*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. **9** (1957), 42–49.
17. L. Waelbroeck, *Topological vector spaces and algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math. **230**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971.
18. S. Warner, *Inductive limits of normed algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 190–216.
19. W. Żelazko, *Selected topics in topological algebras*, Lectures 1969/1970. Lect. Notes Ser. **31**, Aarhus Univ., Aarhus, 1971.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF TARTU, 2 J. LIIVI STR.,
ROOM 615, 50409 TARTU, ESTONIA.

E-mail address: mati.abel@ut.ee